IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION
CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO.6744 OF 2005

Harish N. Mataliya & Anr. ...Applicants
Vs.
Union of India through the supreintendent(Prevn.)Central Excise, Thane-I ...Respondent

Mr. S.Garud i/by Khaitan & Jaykar for the Applicants.
Mr. J.C. Satpute for the Respondent.

CORAM : A. S. OKA, J.
DATE : OCTOBER 11, 2005.

P.C.:

1. Heard the Advocate appearing for the Applicants and the learned Counsel appearing for the Respondent.

2. This Application for Anticipatory Bail cannot be entertained as the Applicants have not approached the appropriate Sessions Court. Hence, Application is rejected with liberty to file appropriate Application for Anticipatory Bail before the concerned Sessions Court. To enable the Applicants to do so, the Applicants shall not be arrested till 15th October, 2005 on the basis of the notice at Exhibit ‘M’.

3. The concerned Sessions Court will decide the Application filed by the Applicants without being influenced by grant of limited protection by this Court.

4. Parties to act upon an authenticated copy of this order.

JUDGE